```
1
    Paul Alan Levy (Pro Hac Vice)
    Public Citizen Litigation Group
2
    1600 20th Street NW
    Washington, D.C. 20009
    202-588-7725
    plevy@citizen.org
4
5
    Stephen Kirby, WSBA #43228
    Kirby Law Office, PLLC
6
    1312 N. Monroe St.
    Spokane, WA 99201
7
    (509) 795 4863 | (510) 588 4732
8
    kirby@kirbylawoffice.com
9
    Phillip R. Malone (Pro Hac Vice)
    Juelsgaard Intellectual Property And Innovation Clinic
10
    Mills Legal Clinic at Stanford Law School
11
    Crown Quadrangle, 559 Nathan Abbott Way
    Stanford, California 94305-8610
12
    Telephone: (650) 724-1900
    pmalone@stanford.edu
13
14
    Attorneys for Defendant
15
    Max K. Archer, WSBA # 54081
16
    Riverside Law Group, PLLC
17
    905 W. Riverside Ave., Ste. 404
    Spokane, WA 99201
18
    mka@riverside-law.com
    (509) 504-8714
19
20
    Lauren Hausman, pro hac vice
    CopyCat Legal PLLC
21
    3111 N. University Drive, Suite 301
    Coral Springs, FL 33065
22
    lauren@copycatlegal.com
    (877) 437-6228
23
    Attorneys for Plaintiff
24
```

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

PREPARED FOOD PHOTOS, INC. f/k/a ADLIFE MARKETING & COMMUNICATIONS CO., INC.,

Civil Action No. 2:23-cv-00160-TOR

Plaintiff,

v.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

POOL WORLD, INC.,

JOINT STATUS REPORT

Defendant.

a) Suggested deadlines for adding additional parties, amending the pleadings, and seeking class certification

- Adding Additional Parties: Plaintiff suggests moving this deadline to i. November 15, 2024 to preserve the rights of the parties should either party need to add an additional party. Defendant states that the deadline has passed, and does not agree.
- Amending the Pleadings: Plaintiff suggests moving this deadline to ii. November 15, 2024 to preserve the rights of the parties should either party move to amend the pleadings. Defendant states that the deadline has passed, and does not agree.

iii. Seeking Class Certification: N/A

- b) Whether all non-government corporate parties have filed the necessary

 ownership statements. All parties have filed the necessary ownership statements.
- the appointment of a guardian ad litem is necessary. This case does not involve a minor or incompetent party. The appointment of a guardian ad litem is not necessary.

d) **Discovery**.

- Initial Disclosures: Initial disclosures were first exchanged in August 2023. However, Plaintiff suggests moving this deadline to November 15, 2024 to preserve the rights of the parties should either party want to revise its initial disclosures. Defendant does not agree.
- ii. Subjects on which discovery may be needed: Discovery will cover the validity and ownership of the asserted copyright, whether use of the image was licensed when it was posted in 2010, whether plaintiff has a sound basis for invoking the discovery rule to justify filing suit in 2022, evidence supporting or opposing the asserted damages, and plaintiff's business practices in both licensing and enforcing its claimed copyrights. Nothing in this recitation represents an

acknowledgement of the legitimacy or illegitimacy of any discovery issue.

- iii. <u>Issues about preserving discoverable information, including</u> electronically stored information: None at this time.
- iv. <u>Claims of privilege, protection of confidentiality, and proposed</u> confidentiality agreements
 - Any stipulation or motion for a confidentiality agreement or protective order must be timely filed so as not to delay the discovery process or the Court's deadlines. If confidential records are attached to court filings, "compelling reasons" must be shown to seal records attached to a dispositive motion and "good cause" must be shown to seal records attached to a non-dispositive motion. Kamakana v. City and County of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178–80 (9th Cir. 2006).
- v. Proposed agreements reached under Fed. R. Evid. 502
 - The parties have not reached any proposed agreements under Fed. R. Evid. 502. However, if an issue arises, the parties will endeavor to reach agreement.
- vi. <u>Proposed modifications to the standard discovery procedures,</u> including bifurcation and/or consolidation of discovery, or an increase

in the allowed number of depositions (10), interrogatories (25), requests for production (30), or requests for admission (15)

- The parties only proposed modifications are that each party be permitted to serve no more than 30 requests for admission, including discrete subparts, on any other party, and may take up to 12 depositions.
- A party needing relief from these limitations should timely seek relief from the Court by motion.

vii. Suggested expert disclosure deadlines

- O Plaintiff's Initial Expert Disclosure: Plaintiff shall identify its experts and serve those experts' Rule 26(a)(2) reports on all other parties no later than <u>December 2, 2024</u>. Plaintiff shall also provide dates for which those experts can be available for deposition.
- Operator of the parties of the pa
- o Plaintiff's Rebuttal Expert Disclosure: Plaintiff shall identify

its rebuttal experts and serve those experts' Rule 26(a)(2) reports on all other parties no later than <u>February 17, 2025</u>. Plaintiff shall also provide dates for which those experts can be available for deposition.

viii. Suggested discovery cut-off

The parties propose that all discovery, including depositions and perpetuation depositions, shall be completed by <u>June 10</u>, <u>2025</u> ("<u>Discovery Cutoff</u>"). To be timely, discovery requests must be served sufficiently in advance of the deadline to allow for timely response by the cutoff date. The parties shall not file discovery, except those portions necessary to support motions or objections.

e) Anticipated motions and suggested dispositive motion filing deadline.

- Plaintiff and Defendant each anticipate bringing dispositive motions.
- O Dispositive and Daubert Motions The parties suggest that all dispositive motions and Daubert motions shall be filed on or before <u>June 17, 2025</u>. Responses and replies to dispositive and Daubert motions shall comply with LCivR 7. No supplemental responses or supplemental replies to any

- dispositive or Daubert motion may be filed without Court permission. Dispositive and Daubert motions shall be noted for hearing at least fifty (50) days after the date of filing.
- Motions to Compel to avoid wasted time and expense, the parties may contact chambers to schedule a telephonic conference to obtain an expedited ruling on discovery disputes. Motions to compel seeking sanctions shall be filed in writing.
- o *Motions in Limine* all unresolved substantive or evidentiary issues that may foreseeably arise during trial shall be addressed by motions in limine to be filed and served on or before August 5, 2025. Such motions will be addressed and resolved at the pretrial conference. However, motions in limine may not reargue issues already decided by the Court.

f) Trial:

- i. Whether a jury has been requested
 - o A jury has been requested by plaintiff.
- ii. Suggested trial date(s) and location
 - The parties suggest trial occur in Spokane, Washington and occurs sometime in the early <u>fall of 2025.</u>

24

iii. Anticipated length of trial

o Trial is anticipated to take between 3-5 days.

iv. Requests for bifurcation

- o The parties do not request bifurcation.
- v. Need for special audio/visual courtroom technology
 - The parties do not currently believe there is a need for special audio/visual courtroom technology.
- g) <u>Likelihood for settlement and the point at which the parties can conduct</u>

 meaningful dispute resolution. The parties attempted to resolve the matter through a settlement conference with Judge Goeke. It is not likely that the case will settle; however, the parties may be able to narrow the issues for trial.
- h) Any other matters that may be conducive to the just, speedy, and inexpensive determination of the action. The parties are currently unable to identify any other matters that may be conducive to the just, speedy, and inexpensive determination of the action.

Max K. Archer, WSBA # 54081 Riverside Law Group, PLLC 905 W. Riverside Ave., Ste. 404 Spokane, WA 99201 mka@riverside-law.com (509) 504-8714 Kirby Law Office, PLLC

<u>s/Stephen Kirby</u>Stephen Kirby, WSBA #43228Attorney for DefendantKirby Law Office, PLLC

1 Attorney for Plaintiff 1312 N. Monroe St. By: /s/ Max K. Archer_ Spokane, WA 99201 2 Max K. Archer Esq. Telephone: (509) 795 4863 Email: kirby@kirbylawoffice.com 3 COPYCAT LEGAL PLLC 3111 N. University Drive 4 Suite 301 5 Coral Springs, FL 33065 Telephone: (877) 437-6228 6 lauren@copycatlegal.com 7 8 Attorney for Plaintiff 9 By: /s/ Lauren M. Hausman Lauren M. Hausman, Esq. 10 11 12 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** I hereby certify that on October 23, 2024, I electronically filed the foregoing 13 14 document with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF, which will electronically 15 serve all counsel of record. 16 /s/ Lauren Hausman 17 Lauren Hausman, Esq. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24